0040-4039(94)E0430-6

A Stereoselective Route to Enantiomerically Pure myo-Inositol Derivatives Starting from p-Mannitol

Jose Luis Chiara* and Manuel Martín-Lomas

Instituto de Química Orgánica General, CSIC, Juan de la Cierva 3, E-28006 Madrid, Spain

Abstract: A carbocyclization route to inositols starting from alditols has been developed involving as a key step a stereoselective intramolecular pinacol coupling of a 1,6-dialdehyde promoted by samarium diiodide. This route has been applied to the synthesis of enantiomerically pure myo-inositol derivatives using readily available D-mannitol as starting material

Since the discovery of the role of inositol phospholipids in cellular signalling, an impressive amount of work has been devoted to the preparation of conveniently functionalized and enantiomerically pure inositol derivatives. The most widely used strategy to this goal starts from cyclitols readily available from natural sources or from bacterial oxidation of benzene derivatives. When using myo-inositol, due to its meso character, this route requires a chemical or enzymatic resolution step which is generally laborious. A biomimetic approach has been developed based on the Ferrier's carbocyclization of specific sugar enol ethers promoted by mercury (II) salts, which gives a cyclohexanone or inosose that can be further transformed into a chiral inositol derivative.

In connection with an on-going program^{4c,7c,8} directed to the synthesis of glycosylinositol phosphates related to putative insulin mediators,⁹ our group has developed routes to selectively protected and enantiomerically pure *myo*-inositol derivatives by chemical resolution of *myo*-inositol^{4c} and by the Ferrier's approach starting from D-glucose.^{7c} We now report on a different carbocyclization route based on a highly efficient reductive coupling promoted by the versatile reagent samarium diiodide and using readily available alditols as chiral starting materials.

When designing a carbocyclization approach to inositols, the most direct transform¹⁰ of the inositol ring is the intramolecular pinacol coupling of a polyoxygenated 1,6-dialdehyde, which gives the carbocycle and a vicinal diol in a single step. Since pinacol coupling reactions produce preferentially *cis*-diols for ring size smaller than 9,¹¹ there are two possible disconnections for the *myo*-inositol ring (Scheme 1), each leading eventually to Scheme 1

Scheme 2

Reagents and conditions: (a) PhCH₂OH, NaH, DMF, $0^{\circ} \rightarrow 22$ °C, 24 h. (b) *t*-Bu(Ph₂)SiCl, imidazole, DMAP, DMF, $0^{\circ} \rightarrow 22$ °C, 10 h. (c) H₂, C/Pd, *i*-PrOH-EtOAc (2:1), 22 °C, 18 h. (d) (COCl)₂, DMSO, Et₃N, THF, -78° → 22 °C, 3 h. (e) SmI₂ (2.5 eq), *t*-BuOH (3.0 eq), THF, -50° → 22 °C, 5 h. (f) Me₂C(OMe)₂, *p*-TsOH (cat), 22 °C, 1 h. (g) *n*-Bu₄NF, THF, 22 °C, 2 h

enantiomeric and C₂-symmetric iditols. There is only a single example in the literature that has followed this approach, with limited success, using a low-valent Ti reagent for the key pinacol coupling. ¹²

Samarium diiodide¹³ has been shown to be a mild, high yielding reagent for the stereoselective reductive coupling of dialdehydes 14 and was thought to be the reagent of choice for the key reaction in our synthetic plan. Our synthesis (Scheme 2) starts with the C2-symmetric diepoxide 115 that can be readily prepared from D-mannitol on a multigram scale. Regioselective opening of the oxirane rings of 1 with benzyl alcohol, 16 followed by simple protecting group manipulations gave the 1,6-diol 4,17 as a syrup, in good overall yield. Swern oxidation of 4 in THF produced the C2-symmetric dialdehyde 5, which was not isolated. The key pinacol coupling was performed by dropwise addition of the crude reaction mixture of 5 (ca. 0.015 M) over a THF solution of SmI₂ (0.1 M, 2.5 eq) and t-BuOH (3 eq) at -50 °C, and stirring at -50° \rightarrow 22 °C for 5h. The reductive carbocyclization took place in high yield and good cis-stereoselectivity to give the expected non-C2-symmetric myo-inositol derivative $6^{17,18}$ as the major product, and a small amount of the C₂-symmetric scyllo-inositol 7,17,19 that were readily separated by chromatography. The other possible diastereoisomer, the D-chiro-inositol derivative 8 (Scheme 2) could not be detected in the ¹H nmr of the crude, in contrast to that observed for the lowvalent Ti pinacol coupling of a closely related dialdehyde which produced a mixture of cis- and trans-diols in comparable amounts. 12 The structure of the major product was further confirmed by its transformation into the known 1,2;4,5-di-O-isopropylidene derivative (-)-917 and comparison of its physical and spectroscopic data with those reported²⁰ for its enantiomer (+)-9 (Scheme 2).

In conclusion, the strategy shown in this letter takes advantage of the symmetry of myo-inositol for its preparation from readily available C₂-symmetric precursors. The C₂-symmetry of the starting D-mannitol has been conserved throughout the route up to the final cyclization step. This simplifies the number of synthetic operations to be performed along the route and reduces the number of possible diastereoisomers resulting from the key carbocyclization. Enantiomerically pure 6 was obtained in this way in 22% overall yield from D-mannitol. This synthesis further demonstrates the versatility of SmI₂ for the stereoselective transformation of carbohydrate derivatives into highly functionalized carbocycles under mild conditions and in excellent yields.²² The extension of this strategy to the synthesis of other chiral inositols starting from different alditols is now in progress in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by MEC-DGICYT (Grants PB87-0367 and PB90-0076) and Comunidad de Madrid (Grant C258/91).

References and notes

- 1. For a recent review, see: Berridge, M. J. Nature 1993, 361, 325.
- (a) Inositol Phosphates and Derivatives. Synthesis, Biochemistry and Therapeutic Potential. Reitz, A. B.
 (Ed.), ACS Symposium Series 463, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1991. (b) Potter, B. V.
 L. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1990, 1. (c) Billington, D. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1989, 18, 83.
- Kozikowski, A. P.; Ognyanov, V. I.; Fauq, A. H.; Nahorski, S. R.; Wilcox, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4429. Akiyama, T.; Takechi, N.; Ozaki, S.; Shiota, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1992, 65, 366. Falck, J. R.; Yadagiri, P. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5851.

- (a) Bruzik, K. S.; Tsai, M.-D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 6361.
 (b) Bruzik, K. S.; Myers, J.; Tsai, M.-D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 1009.
 (c) Aguiló, A.; Martín-Lomas, M.; Penadés, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 401.
- Ling, L.; Li, X.; Watanabe, Y.; Akiyama, T.; Ozaki, S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1993, 1, 155. Ling, L.;
 Watanabe, Y.; Akiyama, T.; Ozaki, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 1911. Liu, Y.-C.; Chen, C.-S.
 Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 1617.
- 6. Ley, S. V.; Parra, M.; Redgrave, A. J.; Sternfeld, F. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 4995.
- (a) Bender, S. L.; Budhu, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9883. (b) Estevez, V. A.; Prestwich, G. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9885. (c) Jaramillo, C.; Martín-Lomas, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 2501. (d) Sato, K.; Sakuma, S.; Muramatsu, S.; Bokura, M. Chem. Lett. 1991, 1473.
- Zapata, A.; Martín-Lomas, M. Carbohydr. Res. 1992, 234, 93. Zapata, A.; Fernández de la Pradilla, R.;
 Martín-Lomas, M.; Penadés, S. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 444. Jaramillo, C.; Fernández de la Pradilla, R.;
 Martín-Lomas, M. Carbohydr. Res. 1991, 209, 296.
- 9. Misek, D. E.; Saltiel, A. R. J. Biol. Chem. 1992, 267, 16266, and references therein.
- 10. Corey, E. J.; Cheng, X.-M. The Logic of Chemical Synthesis; John Wiley & Sons: New York. 1989; p. 6.
- 11. McMurry, J. E.; Rico, J. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 1169.
- 12. Watanabe, Y.; Mitani, M.; Ozaki, S. Chem. Lett. 1987, 123.
- 13. For a recent review, see: Molander, G. A. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 29.
- 14. Chiara, J. L.; Cabri, W.; Hanessian, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 1125.
- 15. Wiggins, L. F. J. Chem. Soc. 1946, 384. Le Merrer, Y.; Duréault, A.; Greck, C.; Micas-Languin, D.; Gravier, C.; Depezay, J.-C. Heterocycles 1987, 25, 541.
- 16. Duréault, A.; Portal, M.; Depezay, J. C. Synlett 1991, 225.
- 17. All new compounds were fully characterized by spectroscopic and microanalytical data.
- 18. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.94-7.16 (m, 20 H, Ar*H*), 4.06 (dd, 1 H, *J* 9.8, 8.3 Hz, H-6), 3.92 (Ψt, 1 H, *J* 9.6 Hz, H-4), 3.76 (dd, 1 H, *J* 9.9, 3.3 Hz, H-3), 3.70 (t, 1 H, *J* 3.3 Hz, H-2), 3.06 (td, 1 H, *J* 8.6, 3.4 Hz, H-1), 3.04 (t, 1 H, *J* 9.5 Hz, H-5), 2.30 (bs, 1H, O*H*-2), 1.98 (d, 1 H, *J* 8.6 Hz, O*H*-1), 1.27 (s, 9 H), 1.20 (s, 3 H), 1.14 (s, 3 H), 1.13 (s, 9 H). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (aromatic carbons not included) 110.8, 78.4, 77.2, 75.2, 73.8, 73.3, 72.1, 27.0, 26.7, 26.6, 19.5, 19.3. [α]_D -24.1° (*c* 2.77, CHCl₃).
- 19. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.9-7.2 (m, 20 H, ArH), 3.73 (m, 2 H, J 9.7, 8.2 Hz), 3.19 (m, 2 H, J 9.2, 8.2 Hz), 3.11 (m, 2 H, J 9.7, 9.5 Hz), 1.95 (bs, 1 H, OH), 1.21 (s, 18 H), 1.06 (s, 6 H). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, C₆D₆): δ (aromatic carbons not included) 111.0, 78.5, 77.9, 74.4, 27.3, 26.5, 19.9. [α]_D -21.6° (c 1.15, CHCl₃).
- 20. Jones, M.; Rana, K. K.; Ward, J. G.; Young, R. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5353.
- 21. We thank a referee for bringing to our attention this difference in m.p.
- For further applications of SmI₂ in carbohydrate chemistry, see ref. 14, and the following: de Pouilly, P.; Chénedé, A.; Mallet, J.-M.; Sinay, P. Bull. Chem. Soc. Fr. 1993, 130, 256. Enholm, E. J.; Jiang, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 6069. Hanessian, S.; Girard, C.; Chiara, J. L.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 573. Inanaga, J.; Katsuki, J.; Yamaguchi, M. Chem. Lett. 1991, 1025. Enholm, E. J.; Trivellas, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6463. Enholm, E. J.; Satici, H.; Trivellas, A. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5841.